### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **Academy of Art University (San Francisco)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	2	1	50%	1	50%
4.0	Building Systems	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	2	1	50%	1	50%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	1	0	0%	1	100%
4.0	Schematic Design	1	1	100%	0	0%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	1	0	0%	1	100%
4.0	Structural Systems	2	1	50%	1	50%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **California College of the Arts (San Francisco)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	11	8	73%	3	27%
4.0	Building Systems	8	5	63%	3	38%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	9	3	33%	6	67%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	8	4	50%	4	50%
4.0	Schematic Design	14	7	50%	7	50%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	10	6	60%	4	40%
4.0	Structural Systems	7	5	71%	2	29%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### California Polytechnic State University (San Luis Obispo)

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	58	23	40%	35	60%
4.0	Building Systems	55	38	69%	17	31%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	72	45	63%	27	38%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	74	32	43%	42	57%
4.0	Schematic Design	85	53	62%	32	38%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	43	28	65%	15	35%
4.0	Structural Systems	59	28	47%	31	53%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **California State Polytechnic University (Pomona)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	31	12	39%	19	61%
4.0	Building Systems	30	15	50%	15	50%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	52	26	50%	26	50%
4.0	<b>Programming Planning and Practice</b>	32	17	53%	15	47%
4.0	Schematic Design	58	33	57%	25	43%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	34	17	50%	17	50%
4.0	Structural Systems	36	23	64%	13	36%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **NewSchool of Architecture and Design (San Diego)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	11	3	27%	8	73%
4.0	Building Systems	15	6	40%	9	60%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	14	5	36%	9	64%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	11	5	45%	6	55%
4.0	Schematic Design	15	8	53%	7	47%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	11	8	73%	3	27%
4.0	Structural Systems	14	8	57%	6	43%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **Southern California Institute of Architecture (Los Angeles)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	28	18	64%	10	36%
4.0	Building Systems	34	16	47%	18	53%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	50	24	48%	26	52%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	47	23	49%	24	51%
4.0	Schematic Design	28	16	57%	12	43%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	41	26	63%	15	37%
4.0	Structural Systems	29	20	69%	9	31%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	14	11	79%	3	21%
4.0	Building Systems	16	11	69%	5	31%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	18	13	72%	5	28%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	17	12	71%	5	29%
4.0	Schematic Design	14	12	86%	2	14%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	10	9	90%	1	10%
4.0	Structural Systems	20	18	90%	2	10%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **University of California, Los Angeles (Los Angeles)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	10	7	70%	3	30%
4.0	Building Systems	13	5	38%	8	62%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	23	13	57%	10	43%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	15	9	60%	6	40%
4.0	Schematic Design	12	11	92%	1	8%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	8	6	75%	2	25%
4.0	Structural Systems	13	7	54%	6	46%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **University of Southern California (Los Angeles)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	32	16	50%	16	50%
4.0	Building Systems	38	19	50%	19	50%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	53	34	64%	19	36%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	44	23	52%	21	48%
4.0	Schematic Design	44	32	73%	12	27%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	34	20	59%	14	41%
4.0	Structural Systems	40	29	73%	11	28%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **Woodbury University (Burbank)**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	19	3	16%	16	84%
4.0	Building Systems	21	7	33%	14	67%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	29	12	41%	17	59%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	22	6	27%	16	73%
4.0	Schematic Design	18	12	67%	6	33%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	12	6	50%	6	50%
4.0	Structural Systems	18	7	39%	11	61%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

#### **U.S. Accredited Schools**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	199	126	63%	73	37%
4.0	Building Systems	218	133	61%	85	39%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	286	174	61%	112	39%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	265	153	58%	112	42%
4.0	Schematic Design	286	210	73%	76	27%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	198	162	82%	36	18%
4.0	Structural Systems	219	135	62%	84	38%

#### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

#### **Non-Accredited California Schools**

Vers	ion / Division	Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	69	40	58%	29	42%
4.0	Building Systems	77	44	57%	33	43%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	103	50	49%	53	51%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	95	44	46%	51	54%
4.0	Schematic Design	97	63	65%	34	35%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	75	54	72%	21	28%
4.0	Structural Systems	87	52	60%	35	40%

#### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

#### **Non-Accredited U.S. Schools**

Version / Division		Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Building Systems	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	<b>Construction Documents and Services</b>	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Schematic Design	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	0	0	0%	0	0%
4.0	Structural Systems	0	0	0%	0	0%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

### **Foreign Schools**

Version / Division		Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	66	24	36%	42	64%
4.0	Building Systems	84	37	44%	47	56%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	95	41	43%	54	57%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	100	48	48%	52	52%
4.0	Schematic Design	76	54	71%	22	29%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	73	44	60%	29	40%
4.0	Structural Systems	66	40	61%	26	39%

### California Architects Board 01/01/2010–12/31/2010

#### **No School**

Version / Division		Number of Candidates	Number Passing	Percent Passing	Number Failing	Percent Failing
4.0	<b>Building Design and Construction Systems</b>	71	31	44%	40	56%
4.0	Building Systems	81	21	26%	60	74%
4.0	Construction Documents and Services	127	36	28%	91	72%
4.0	Programming Planning and Practice	71	28	39%	43	61%
4.0	Schematic Design	90	37	41%	53	59%
4.0	Site Planning and Design	53	25	47%	28	53%
4.0	Structural Systems	54	28	52%	26	48%