## CALIFORNIA ARCHITECTS BOARD

#### FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

Subject Matter of Proposed Regulations: Reasonable Accommodations

<u>Section(s) Affected:</u> Section 124 Article 3 of Division 2 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR)

## **Updated Information:**

The Board's Reasonable Accommodations regulatory proposal was originally approved by the Board at its December 5-6, 2024 meeting. The Notice documents were submitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on March 19, 2025, and published on April 4, 2024.

The 45-day public comment period closed on May 19, 2025, and the Board received no comments.

The following is a correction to a typo mentioned in the Initial Statement of Reasons:

• On page 2, item 1, where it reads, "Amend 16 CCR section 124(b)(5)." The sentence included a typo related to the proposed language: "...applicant's disability or medical disability..." The ISOR should have read: "...applicant's disability or mental disability" as stated in the Government Code section that was referenced.

### **Local Mandate:**

A local mandate is not imposed on local agencies or school districts.

## **Consideration of Alternatives:**

The Board has determined that no reasonable alternative to the regulatory proposal considered by the Board or otherwise identified and brought to its attention would be either more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons, and equally effective in achieving the purposes of the regulation in a manner that ensures full compliance with the law being implemented or made specific. The Board incorporates by reference the alternatives identified in its Initial Statement of Reasons (if any) and did not receive any comments that altered its findings.

# Objections or Concerns Received During 45-Day Public Comment Period and Board Responses:

No comments were received regarding the proposed action during the 45-day public comment period. Therefore, no comments were considered by the Board and no changes to the text were deemed necessary in response.