

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 LINDA L. SUN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 MATTHEW A. KING
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 265691
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
matthew.king@doj.ca.gov
6 (213) 897-7446

7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **CALIFORNIA ARCHITECTS BOARD**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 1402029-01

12 **JEFFREY STANTON SULKIN**
2525 Michigan Ave., Space T-6
13 Santa Monica, CA 90404

ACCUSATION

[Gov. Code, § 11503]

14 **Architect License No. C-20501**

15 Respondent.

17 Complainant alleges:

18 **PARTIES**

19 1. Douglas R. McCauley (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official
20 capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Architects Board, Department of Consumer
21 Affairs.

22 2. On June 30, 1989, the California Architects Board (Board) issued Architect License
23 Number C-20501 to Jeffrey Stanton Sulkin (Respondent). The Architect License expired on
24 March 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 JURISDICTION

2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
3 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4 4. Section 118 states, in pertinent part:

5 (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license
6 issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by
7 order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written
8 consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored,
9 reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a
disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to
enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary
action against the licensee on any such ground.

10 5. Section 5560 states:

11 The board may upon its own motion, and shall upon the verified complaint in
12 writing of any person, investigate the actions of any architect and may temporarily
13 suspend or permanently revoke, the license of any architect who is guilty of, or
14 commits one or more of, the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary
15 action under this chapter.

15 STATUTES

16 6. Section 5500 states:

17 As used in this chapter [Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 5500)], architect
18 means a person who is licensed to practice architecture in this state under the authority
19 of this chapter.

20 7. Section 5536 states, in pertinent part:

21 (a) It is a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars
22 (\$100) nor more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or by imprisonment in a county
23 jail not exceeding one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment, for any person who
24 is not licensed to practice architecture under this chapter to practice architecture in this
25 state, to use any term confusingly similar to the word architect, to use the stamp of a
26 licensed architect, as provided in Section 5536.1, or to advertise or put out any
27 sign, card, or other device that might indicate to the public that he or she is an
28 architect, that he or she is qualified to engage in the practice of architecture, or that he
or she is an architectural designer.

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. Section 5536.1 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The preparation of plans, specifications, or instruments of service for any building, except the buildings described in Section 5537, by any person who is not licensed to practice architecture in this state, is a misdemeanor punishable as provided in Section 5536.

9. Section 5537 states, in pertinent part:

(a) This chapter does not prohibit any person from preparing plans, drawings, or specifications for any of the following:

(1) Single-family dwellings of woodframe construction not more than two stories and basement in height.

(2) Multiple dwellings containing no more than four dwelling units of woodframe construction not more than two stories and basement in height. However, this paragraph shall not be construed as allowing an unlicensed person to design multiple clusters of up to four dwelling units each to form apartment or condominium complexes where the total exceeds four units on any lawfully divided lot.

(3) Garages or other structures appurtenant to buildings described under subdivision (a), of woodframe construction not more than two stories and basement in height.

(4) Agricultural and ranch buildings of woodframe construction, unless the building official having jurisdiction deems that an undue risk to the public health, safety, or welfare is involved.

10. Section 5578 states:

The fact that the holder of a license is practicing in violation of the provisions of this chapter constitutes a ground for disciplinary action.

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///
///
///

1 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2 (Unlicensed Practice)

3 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 5578, 5536, subdivision
4 (a), 5536.1, subdivision (c), and 5537, subdivision (a), for violation of the provisions of the
5 Architects Practice Act, in that, without being licensed as an architect, he prepared plans and
6 specifications for buildings that are not exempt from the Architects Practice Act. On or about July
7 1, 2013, Respondent entered into a contract with Eric Colton to prepare plans and specifications
8 for multiple structures on a site known as Malibu Ranch. Approximately five months later,
9 Respondent submitted plans and specifications for at least fifteen structures, including buildings
10 that were not of woodframe construction. The buildings were not those which the Architects
11 Practice Act permits an unlicensed person to design. Respondent was required to have an active
12 architect's license in order to prepare the plans and specifications for the proposed structures on
13 Malibu Ranch, but he was not licensed at any time after March 31, 2011.

14 PRAAYER

15 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
16 and that following the hearing, the California Architects Board issue a decision:

- 17 1. Revoking or suspending Architect License Number C-20501, issued to Jeffrey
18 Stanton Sulkin;
- 19 2. Ordering Jeffrey Stanton Sulkin to pay the California Architects Board the reasonable
20 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
21 Code section 125.3; and,
- 22 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
- 23
- 24

25 DATED: August 7, 2015

26 Douglas R. McCauley
27 DOUGLAS R. McCAULEY
28 Executive Officer
California Architects Board
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant